GRAZING TECHNIQUES FOR ALFALFA ON 3 MILLION HECTARES IN ARGENTINA

Daniel Basigalup[1]

ABSTRACT

Alfalfa is the most important cultivated forage crop in Argentina, where about 3 million hectares are grown. Even though the use of direct grazing is coming down during the last 10 years, direct grazing for both beef and dairy production is still important in the country. Alfalfa grazing can play an important role in reducing operative costs and decreasing quality loss due to forage conservation (hay or silage). In this paper, the main concepts necessary to implement adequate and practical rotational grazing systems are briefly discussed. Particular attention is given to issues such as grazing frequency, grazing period, pasture use efficiency, and alfalfa quality variation by canopy strata and time of year. Specific management requirements for beef and dairy operations are also discussed. Argentine experience indicates that is possible to reach high animal response under direct grazing, provided appropriate management practices.

Key Words: alfalfa, grazing systems, grazing pressure, forage quality, beef, dairy.

INTRODUCTION

Argentina, with nearly 3 million hectares grown in 2019, is one of largest alfalfa producer in the world. The total area devoted to alfalfa is mostly concentrated in the Pampa Region (Central Argentina) and is planted 60% as pure stands and 40% associated with temperate grasses (mainly *Festuca arundinacea, Bromus catharticus, Lolium spp.* and *Dactylis glomerata*). While pure stands are primarily used for dairy and hay, mixtures with grasses are primarily used for beef production. Even though the use of direct grazing is going down since several years ago, it is still important in many areas devoted to both beef and dairy production.

Compared to confined systems, direct grazing has the following advantages: i) lower operational costs; ii) better use of alfalfa quality relative to conserved forage (hay or silage); and iii) healthier animal products for human consumption, i.e. compared to feed lot operations, beef produced on pastures has lower total cholesterol content, less intramuscular fat content, and higher unsaturated fatty acids omega-3/omega-6 relationship. On the other hand, the disadvantages of direct grazing are: a) risk of bloat; b) longer fattening period; and c) lower milk production on an individual cow basis.

Correct alfalfa grazing management, in order to complement high animal production with high levels of pasture yield and persistence, must be based upon the particular growing pattern of the plant. New stems in alfalfa arise in series or lots that come from axillary as well as crown buds. When a lot of stems are actively growing, the buds responsible for the next lot are dormant. Right

[1] Daniel Basigalup, Alfalfa Breeder, EEA INTA Manfredi, Ruta 9 Km 636, (5988) Manfredi, Cordoba, Argentina. E-mail: basigalup.daniel@inta.gob.ar; **In:** Proceedings, 2019 Western Alfalfa and Forage Symposium, Reno, NV, Nov. 19-21. UC Cooperative Extension, Plant Sciences Department, University of California, Davis, CA 95616. (See http://alfalfa.ucdavis.edu for this and other alfalfa symposium Proceedings).

before blooming, when stems are sufficiently elongated, growth rate is at its maximum and buds responsible for the next lot become active. If the alfalfa were grazed before that moment, removing the apical meristems, stem growth would be stopped; as a result, forage yield would be diminished and regrowth delayed. From the grazing viewpoint, alfalfa has two important features: i) it can reach values of leaf area index (LAI) close to 3.5 to 5 without losing photosynthetic capacity in the lower leaves; and ii) speed regrowth after grazing depends primarily on reserve carbohydrate and protein content on crown and root rather than on remnant leaves. Based on the previous remarks, the best way to use alfalfa is under **rotational grazing** in which the main objective must be to combine adequate levels of grazing intensity with appropriate resting time. Alfalfa can tolerate intensive grazing periods as long as they are not frequent. Repeated interruption of the reserves cycle leads to loss of stand and the subsequent decrease in beef or dairy production.

Forage quality also plays a very important role in animal performance. If alfalfa pastures were grazed at full blooming (stage in which forage yield and reserves are very high), digestible DM content would be very low. As a compromise, grazing alfalfa at 10% blooming integrates acceptably high forage yield with adequate levels of forage quality and root and crown carbohydrate reserves. For those months in which temperatures and day length are not high enough to allow blooming, alfalfa should be grazed when the regrowth from the crown is about 5-cm tall. From the physiological viewpoint, the latter is equivalent to 10% blooming. More recently, research results in Argentina (5, 10) suggested to initiate grazing -during periods of pasture active growth- when the main stem has 8 to 10 nodes. As an alternative, the same authors proposed the utilization of cumulative number of grade-days (which is estimated as mean daily temperature - 5° C) to define grazing frequency: 350-450° C in spring/summer and 550-600° C in fall/early winter.

When implementing a rotational grazing system, three fundamental issues must be defined: 1) **Grazing Frequency (GF)**, or pasture resting period. GF depends on environmental conditions (season, temperature, moisture, etc.) and fall dormancy (the more non-dormant the shorter the resting period). In general terms, across the Pampa Region, GF ranges from 23 days (FD 7-10 in spring/summer) to 42 days (FD 4-6 in middle-fall/winter); 2) **Grazing Period (GP)**, or number of days in which animals graze on a particular strip of pasture. GP depends on the type of operation (dairy or beef) and fall dormancy (the more non-dormant the cultivar the shorter GP in order to avoid consuming regrowth from crown buds). For the Pampa Region GP goes from 1 day (dairy production) to 7 days (beef production on FD 4-6 cultivars); and 3) **Degree of Pasture Utilization (PU)**, a concept related to grazing pressure that results from the interaction between forage availability and stocking rate, which –in turn- produces different levels of animal intake. In determining PU, variation of alfalfa forage quality by canopy strata (higher quality in the upper part of the plant) or by days of grazing (proportion of leaves is decreasing regarding days of grazing in the paddock), also play an important role. The combination of all of these factors impacts on beef or dairy production both on an individual and an area-unit basis.

GRAZING SYSTEMS

Beef production **-** As mentioned before, the most important parameters that define a rotational grazing system are GF and GP. For the FD rates (5 to 10) of alfalfa cultivars used in the Pampa Region (temperate climate and no irrigation), many studies conducted by INTA for beef

production stated an average GF from 35 to 42 days and an average GP of 5 to 7 days. Obviously, these figures are indicated as a general rule; it should not be overlooked that the environmental factors (location, weather conditions, FD, season, etc.) can have a dramatic impact on both parameters. In alfalfa, significant differences in beef production among grazing systems are more related to the capacity of reaching higher yields (DM ha^{-1}) than any other variable. The negative effect of continuous grazing on pasture productivity and persistence were pointed out by Romero *et al*. (9). When the appropriate GF for each time of the year is respected, the number of paddocks (grazing strips) or the duration of GP (assuming a maximum of 7 days) do not impact on the alfalfa yield. In this context, the use of an optimal stocking rate is by far more important in determining individual live weight gains and/or beef production per unit area.

In the Pampa Region the most popular alfalfa grazing system for beef production is the so called "**7x35**" because it results from a combination of an average of 7 days of grazing (GP) and 35 days of resting (GF), which means a total grazing cycle of 42 days. The 7x35 system is simple, effective and cheaper than others that are based on higher number of paddocks. To organize the system, the pasture is divided into 6 grazing strips o paddocks, which are grazed in turns, following a regular schedule. During spring and the beginning of summer, when alfalfa is growing very rapidly, succession of paddocks can be altered in order to maintain forage quality sufficiently high. The escaped paddocks are generally used for hay production. On the other extreme of the systems scale it is the so-called "**1x35**", that combines 1 day of GP and 35 days of GF, and gives a total grazing cycle of 36 days. This system, which divides the pasture into 36 1-day grazing paddocks, is more intensive than the 7x35 system and offers more flexibility for escaping strips so as to keep highly enough forage quality; on the other hand, it requires more infrastructure (mainly fences) and personnel attention. Due to the latter, the 1x35 system is only exceptionally used in the Pampas for beef operations.

There are also some other systems based on the use of slightly different combinations of GF and GP, like for instance **2**GP **x 34**GF (18 paddocks) or the one called "**leaders**" (L) and "**followers**" (F), in which two groups of animals are formed in order to alternatively graze the same paddock: group L enters first and consumes the upper half of the canopy, after which enters group F and grazes the remaining forage in the paddock. In spite of some eventual and slight increases in beef production, these alternative systems did not produce any consistent improvement over the 7x35 system that compensates the higher labor intensity they require.

Whatever the chosen combination between GF and GP, the main goal for any grazing system must be to reach a high degree of forage utilization through an adequate grazing pressure. In doing so, it is important to take into account that the effect of pasture use intensity on individual live weight gains is different throughout the year (Table 1). As a general rule, systems that include high stocking rates produce more beef per unit area, and very often justify some decrease on individual live weight gains. However, losing some degree of individual gains may delay the fattening process and negatively influence the profitability of the operation and/or the returning speed of investment.

Table 1. Relationship among forage allowance (FA), forage harvesting efficiency (FHE) by the animals and individual live weight gains (ILWG) on steers in two seasons along the year. Adapted from Ustarroz *et al*. (9).

Season	FA (% DM lw^{-1})	FHE (%)	ILWG (g day^{-1})
Spring	3.3	75	1,002
	4.4	64	1,032
	7.9	39	1,097
Summer	2.4	84	565
	2.8	78	595
	4.9	61	699

Dairy production **-** When formulating diets for dairy cows, especially for those with high milk potential, the first criteria to be considered should be animal intake (AI). Total amount of consumed DM depends upon animal characteristics (weight, age, level of production, lactation time, etc.) as well as forage nutritional value. Under grazing conditions, three other components must be included: i) pasture structure (height, stand density, etc.); ii) environmental conditions; and iii) grazing management (forage allowance, grazing system, level of supplementation, etc.).
In dairy operations solely under direct grazing, forage allowance (FA) has a direct effect on milk production. In operations in which pasture is just one of the diet components, like in the vast majority of dairy farms in the Pampa Region, FA also has incidence on addition and substitution effects among feeds in the diet. Even though FA can be expressed as g DM kg live $weight^{-1}$ or as % or live weight, Comeron and Romero (2) suggested that in the case of dairy cows it is probably better to express it as kg DM cow^{-1}. FA is asymptotically related to AI and milk production. Comeron *et al.* (4) concluded that the minimum level of FA in order to obtain maximum values of AI and milk production is equivalent to 1.75*MEI, where MEI is the maximum expected intake and is expressed as kg DM cow^{-1} day^{-1}. The value of MEI can be calculated from the equation proposed by Neal *et al.* (6):

$$MEI\ (kg\ DM\ cow^{-1}\ day^{-1}) = (0.025 * live\ weight) + (0.2 * liters\ of\ milk\ cow^{-1})$$

Using this equation, a cow of 550 kg of live weight that produces 25 liters of milk day^{-1}, would have a MEI value of 18.75 kg DM day^{-1} (or 3.4% of its live weight). So, FA for that particular cow should be 1.75*18.75 = 33 kg DM day^{-1} (or 60 g DM kg of live $weight^{-1}$).

If the goal is to maximize animal response under grazing conditions alone, the best way to achieve it -based on the previous remarks- is to use high levels of FA, or in other words utilize low stocking rates. In such a context, pasture use efficiency (PUE = AI/FA) will be low, with values no larger than 50-55% (7). However, using these levels of PUE would imply wasting a large amount of forage and, consequently, obtaining low milk production per unit area. On the contrary, increasing alfalfa PUE to a significant degree would negatively affect AI and milk production due to quality losses from the top to the basis of the alfalfa plants. Thus, if the objective is to increase individual cow productivity under high PUE, some level of supplementation with conserved forages and/or concentrates must be used. Additionally, the

latter would allow offering better-balanced diets to cows. Results from many studies on alfalfa pastures conducted in Argentina indicate that in order to obtain a compromise between milk production per cow and milk production per unit area, FA should be around 20 to 22 kg DM cow^{-1} day^{-1} (or about 4% of the live weight) with an average PUE ≥ 70%. Obviously, PUE varies along the year, going from >80% in winter to 55% in spring or <50% in summer. Comeron (1) suggested that PUE should be defined in terms of quantity and quality of the remaining (after grazing) forage in the paddock rather than FA.

The most popular system for dairy production is the use of **daily grazing strips** (daily paddocks) with a resting period (GF) of 35 days. An alternative is the utilization of **paddocks with variable time of grazing**, where the main objective is to improve alfalfa persistence through the reduction of the instantaneous stocking rate but without reducing the average stocking rate. Another one is the use of **daily strips with sectors of restricted access**, which basically consists in subdividing the daily strips into sectors so that cows can have access to a new one throughout the day. However, none of these alternatives were more effective than the daily strips. Romero *et al.* (8) compared animal response of alfalfa under three utilization systems: a) **direct grazing of standing plants**; b) **cut + pre-dried in rows + grazing rows**; and c) **cut + chopped + distributed in** feed troughs, concluding that the first system (grazing standing plants) produced higher milk production cow^{-1} because it allowed selection (higher quality) by the animals. As with beef production, there has also been some research on adapting the **leaders and followers** (LF) system to dairy production. The key point is how both groups (L and F) are conformed. When group L was formed by cows in the first third (40 days) of their lactating period and the F group was composed by cows in the second third (160 days), Romero and Comeron (2) did not detect differences in average milk production between both groups because the decrease in the F group could not be compensated by the increase in the L group. As an alternative, Comeron *et al.* (3) proposed a system in which the L group was composed by milking cows and the F group was composed by dry cows, each group having sequentially access for 1 or 2 days to the same grazing strip.

To keep a balance between milk production and operational costs, it is recommended a combination of direct alfalfa grazing and strategic supplementation. By doing so, it is possible to obtain >10,000 liters of milk ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$, as a consequence of individual production levels of 7,000 to 7,500 liters cow^{-1} $lactation^{-1}$ and stocking rates of over 1.7 cow ha^{-1}. Direct grazing of alfalfa allows a reduction of operative costs and contributes to decrease losses of quality due to forage conservation. However, to harmonize direct grazing with adequate nutritional level of the herd, in order to obtain appropriate animal response and good stand persistence, is not always an easy task. In addition, changes in alfalfa forage quality -by canopy strata or time of the year- may complicate formulation of well-balanced rations, particularly working with high potential cows.

REFERENCES

1- Comeron, E. A. 2000. La producción orgánica certificada. Leche y productos lácteos bovinos. Publicación Interna de Divulgación. EEA Rafaela-INTA, 96 p.

2- Comeron, E. y L. Romero. 2007. Utilización de la alfalfa por vacas lecheras en pastoreo. *In*: D. H. Basigalup (ed) El Cultivo de la Alfalfa en la Argentina. Ed. INTA, Cap. 14, pp. 303-331.

3- Comeron, E. A., A. Alesso, M. Gaggiotti y O. A. Quaino. 2004. Sistema de pastoreo de líderes y seguidores con vacas lecheras en producción y secas. 2.- Producción y composición de la leche, condición corporal y peso vivo. Rev. Arg. Prod. Animal 24 (Supl.1): 22.

4- Comeron, E. A., L. A. Romero, J. L. Peyraud, O. A. Bruno and L. Delaby. 1995. Effects of herbage allowance on performances of dairy cows grazing alfalfa swards. IV Symposium International sur la Nutrition des Herbivores, Theix (Francia). Annales de Zootechnie 44 (Supl 1): 368.

5- Kloster, A.M y G. Zurbriggen. 2019. Producción y persistencia de una mezcla de alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) y festuca alta (*Festuca arundinacea* Schreb.) bajo intensidades y frecuencias de pastoreo contrastantes. Rev. RIA 45 (1): 46-53.

6- Neal, H. D. St. C., C. Thomas and J. M. Cobby. 1984. Comparison of equations for predicting voluntary intake by dairy cow. J. Agric. Sci. Camb. 103: 1-10.

7- Peyraud, J. L., E. A. Comeron, M. H. Wade and G. Lemaire. 1996. The effect of daily herbage allowance, herbage mass and animal factors upon herbage intake by grazing dairy cows. Annales de Zootechnie (45): 201-217.

8- Romero, L. A., E. A. Comeron y O. A. Bruno. 1999. Comparación de diferentes métodos de utilización de una pastura de alfalfa con vacas lecheras. INTA, EEA Rafaela, Publicación Miscelánea Nº 89: 3-4.

9- Romero, N., E. A. Comeron y E. Ustarroz. 1995. Crecimiento y utilización de la alfalfa. *In*: E. H. Hijano y A. Navarro (ed) La alfalfa en la Argentina. INTA Subprograma Alfalfa. Enciclopedia Agro de Cuyo, Manuales 11, Cap. 8, pp 149-170.

10- Sardiña, C. y Berone G. D. 2017. Aumentos en producción de carne en alfalfa por cambio en el manejo de la defoliación. Revista Argentina de Producción Animal. (37) 1: 90

11- Ustarroz, E., A. Kloster, N. Latimori, M. Zaniboni y D. Mendez. 1997. Intensificación de la invernada sobre pasturas base alfalfa. *In*: Proc. Primer Congreso Nacional sobre Producción Intensiva de Carne. INTA-Forrajes y Granos-SAGPyA. Buenos Aires, Noviembre 13-15, pp. 181-204.