

# PERFORMANCE OF WARM-SEASON ANNUAL FORAGE GRASSES

Thomas C. Griggs<sup>1</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Warm-season annual forage grasses may have potential as rotation crops for short-season forage production following spring utilization of cool-season annuals. Forage sorghum, sudangrass, sorghum x sudangrass, pearl millet, and silage corn cultivars were seeded in southeastern Idaho on June 19 following removal of an Italian ryegrass stand. Herbage masses averaging 8.34 tons/ac in late September were almost three times those in mid-August. Regrowth following a mid-August harvest was negligible. Forage nitrate concentrations in many cultivars were at potentially toxic levels in August and September, but had dropped to safer levels by December. Protein and energy levels were high in forage sampled in August and in September regrowth, decreased gradually between mid-August and early December, and energy levels remained at levels that were at least adequate to meet maintenance requirements of cattle grazing stockpiled forage. Warm-season annual grasses can contribute to forage production, improved seasonal growth distribution, and extension of the grazing season in integrated pasture-livestock systems.

**Key Words:** Sorghum, sudangrass, pearl millet, nitrate, forage quality

## INTRODUCTION

Complementary cropping systems that integrate cool- and warm-season annual forage grasses in conjunction with annual vegetable crop residues and perennial pasture grasses and legumes may support more livestock and provide more uniform seasonal distribution of forage production than systems with fewer pasture components. Warm-season annual forage grasses including sorghum, sudangrass, sorghum x sudangrass hybrids, pearl millet, and corn offer potential for short-season forage production following spring utilization of cool-season annuals such as winter cereals. We evaluated the performance and quality of various warm-season annual forage grasses that were planted following a spring harvest of Italian ryegrass in its second year of production. Objectives were to determine the forage potential of summer-seeded warm-season annual grasses at the end of the growing season, and as stockpiled winter forage for extension of the grazing season in southeastern Idaho.

## PROCEDURES

A field that had been seeded to Italian ryegrass in Spring, 2002 near Preston, (Franklin Co.), ID was harvested for hay in early June, 2003, then disked, harrowed and smoothed, and planted on June 19 to three replications of small plots of forage sorghum, sudangrass, sorghum x sudangrass, pearl millet, and silage corn cultivars (Table 1). Some cultivars contained the brown midrib (BMR) trait of reduced concentration and/or altered composition of lignin for improved

---

<sup>1</sup>T. Griggs, Extension Agronomy Specialist, Dept. of Plants, Soils, & Biometeorology, Utah State University, 4820 Old Main Hill, Logan, UT 84322-4820; Email: [tgriggs@ext.usu.edu](mailto:tgriggs@ext.usu.edu), In: Proceedings, 2006 Western Alfalfa & Forage Conference, December 11-13, 2006, Reno, Nevada. Sponsored by the Cooperative Extension Services of AZ, CA, CO, ID, MT, NV, NM, OR, UT, WA, WY. Published by: UC Cooperative Extension, Agronomy Research and Extension Center, Plant Sciences Department, University of California, Davis 95616. (See <http://alfalfa.ucdavis.edu> for this and other alfalfa proceedings.)

fiber digestibility. Rows were spaced 1 ft apart in Battle Creek silty clay loam with adequate soil test levels of P, K, and S. The ryegrass had received 118 lb N/ac on April 14 and plots received an additional 34 lb N/ac on July 21. Plots were sprinkle-irrigated with handlines. Separate rows of each plot were harvested to approximately 2.5-inch stubble height on August 14, September 24 (original growth and regrowth from the August harvest), and December 5. Herbage mass was determined by drying samples to constant weight at 60° C. Forage quality was determined with near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy following instrument calibration with data from reference lab analyses of plot samples for crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fiber (NDF), in vitro true dry matter digestibility (IVTDMD), and digestibility of neutral detergent fiber (NDFD). For the latter two analyses, disappearance of dry matter (DM) and fiber were determined following incubation of samples in buffered rumen fluid for 48 hours. Forage nitrate-N ( $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ ) concentration was determined solely by lab analysis on a single replication at each harvest.

## **RESULTS**

Cultivars are ranked by decreasing herbage mass from the September 24 harvest (Table 1) representing the end of the growing season. Herbage masses on September 24 (averaging 8.34 tons DM/ac) were almost three times those on August 14. Regrowth following the August 14 harvest was negligible. Forage quality levels are ranked by decreasing IVTDMD for samples of original growth on August 14, September 24, and December 5, along with those for regrowth in September and December (Tables 2-4). Forage  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  levels were at surprisingly high, and potentially toxic (>2200 ppm), levels in many samples, particularly those of original growth on August 14 and regrowth on September 24. These high levels may have been induced by plant stress resulting from inadequate irrigation, particularly in plot areas that were too tall for effective distribution of water from handline sprinklers. Nitrate concentrations had dropped to much safer (<1500 ppm) levels in most samples of original growth and regrowth in December.

Protein and energy levels were indicative of good-to-excellent quality in forage sampled in August and in September regrowth, and decreased gradually in stockpiled forage between August 14 and December 5. Throughout the sampling period, energy levels were at least adequate to meet maintenance requirements of cattle grazing stockpiled forage. Warm-season annual forage grasses can contribute to forage production, improved seasonal growth distribution, and extension of the grazing season in integrated pasture-livestock systems.

Table 1. Herbage mass of cultivars harvested on August 14 (H1) and September 24 (H2).

Species	Cultivar	H1	H2 original	H2 regrowth	H1+regrowth
Tons DM/ac					
Forage sorghum	DK FS25E	2.93	<b>11.50</b>	0.31	3.26
Sorghum x sudangrass	Nutri + Plus BMR	3.25	<b>10.94</b>	0.59	3.86
Forage sorghum	HT 110 BMR	3.53	<b>10.76</b>	0.45	4.00
Pearlmillet	PP-202M	1.19	<b>10.28</b>	0.36	1.56
Sorghum x sudangrass	Greentreat BMR	3.76	<b>9.67</b>	0.70	4.48
Corn	Croplan N48-V8 (98 day)	2.27	<b>9.50</b>	--	--
Forage sorghum	Silo + Plus BMR	3.38	<b>9.27</b>	0.43	3.82
Sorghum x sudangrass	Hiyield Choice	3.14	<b>8.88</b>	0.48	3.64
Sorghum x sudangrass	Special Effort	3.09	<b>8.56</b>	0.60	3.71
Sorghum x sudangrass	UAP Dynagraze 222	3.34	<b>8.32</b>	1.22	4.59
Sorghum x sudangrass	MS 505 x DS Sudan BMR	3.88	<b>8.28</b>	0.77	4.67
Sorghum x sudangrass	Greentreat Plus	4.28	<b>7.76</b>	0.70	4.32
Forage sorghum	Redtop + Plus BMR	3.93	<b>7.35</b>	0.32	4.27
Pearlmillet	Graze King	0.91	<b>7.09</b>	0.72	1.66
Sudangrass	Trudan 8	2.27	<b>6.94</b>	0.74	3.03
Sorghum x sudangrass	UAP Danny Boy BMR	2.78	<b>6.93</b>	0.75	3.55
Pearlmillet	Hy-Mil-444	1.41	<b>4.25</b>	1.03	2.47
Corn	NK N09-A4 (74 day)	1.86	<b>3.68</b>	--	--
Mean		<b>2.85</b>	<b>8.34</b>	<b>0.63</b>	<b>3.53</b>
Significance (P)		0.10	0.01	0.25	0.37
LSD (0.05)		2.20	3.78	NS	NS
LSD (0.30)		1.14	1.95	NS	NS
CV (%)		47	27	59	44

Table 2. Forage quality of cultivars at Harvest 1 (Aug. 14). Nitrate determination was not replicated.

Species	Cultivar	CP	NDF	IVTDMD	NDFD	NO <sub>3</sub> -N
		% of DM		% of NDF		Ppm
Sorghum x sudangrass	Greentreat Plus	--	--	--	--	--
Pearlmillet	PP-202M	17.5	56.3	<b>87.7</b>	77.1	1275
Pearlmillet	Graze King	18.1	54.2	<b>86.2</b>	75.1	3517
Pearlmillet	Hy-Mil-444	20.6	53.2	<b>86.0</b>	73.0	6660
Sorghum x sudangrass	Hiyield Choice	15.4	57.5	<b>84.2</b>	70.6	4483
Forage sorghum	HT 110 BMR	15.4	55.2	<b>84.0</b>	69.5	6044
Forage sorghum	Silo + Plus BMR	16.4	55.8	<b>83.2</b>	66.2	5289
Sorghum x sudangrass	MS 505 x DS Sudan BMR	10.2	52.3	<b>82.9</b>	67.7	2654
Sorghum x sudangrass	Greentreat BMR	11.5	57.8	<b>82.6</b>	67.3	3151
Sorghum x sudangrass	Nutri + Plus BMR	13.8	53.5	<b>82.2</b>	66.5	2293
Corn	Croplan N48-V8 (98 day)	12.1	59.8	<b>82.2</b>	68.5	1515
Forage sorghum	Redtop + Plus BMR	13.9	54.1	<b>81.8</b>	65.6	868
Sorghum x sudangrass	UAP Danny Boy BMR	15.8	55.1	<b>81.6</b>	65.8	3108
Corn	NK N09-A4 (74 day)	13.3	58.6	<b>81.4</b>	67.5	2485
Forage sorghum	DK FS25E	12.1	57.3	<b>80.4</b>	64.6	2262
Sorghum x sudangrass	UAP Dynagraze 222	9.1	57.1	<b>75.9</b>	58.9	1299
Sudangrass	Trudan 8	11.8	60.7	<b>73.6</b>	56.4	2938
Sorghum x sudangrass	Special Effort	11.3	58.8	<b>73.6</b>	53.9	2163
Mean		<b>14.0</b>	<b>56.2</b>	<b>81.9</b>	<b>67.1</b>	<b>3059</b>
Significance (P)		0.03	0.02	0.10	0.03	N/A

Table 3. Forage quality of cultivars at Harvest 2 (Sept. 24). Nitrate determination was not replicated.

Species & Cultivar	Original growth					Regrowth from Aug. 14				
	CP	NDF	IVTD	NDFD	NO <sub>3</sub> -N	CP	NDF	IVTD	NDFD	NO <sub>3</sub> -N
	% of DM (NDFD = % of NDF)				Ppm	% of DM (NDFD = % of NDF)				Ppm
SxS Hiyield Choice	8.1	58.8	<b>83.2</b>	70.2	486	20.1	55.3	84.5	70.7	2998
FS Redtop + Plus BMR	7.7	54.5	<b>81.6</b>	68.1	233	23.1	52.9	83.8	70.6	5800
FS Silo + Plus BMR	8.6	51.3	<b>81.3</b>	65.9	2039	24.2	51.2	86.5	72.8	5519
SxS Nutri + Plus BMR	9.9	54.6	<b>80.8</b>	66.7	1827	20.1	54.4	82.0	67.7	2148
C Croplan N48-V8 (98 day)	8.4	55.9	<b>80.4</b>	66.6	975	--	--	--	--	--
SxS UAP Danny Boy BMR	8.6	58.5	<b>80.1</b>	65.6	95	19.9	53.0	82.6	64.6	1976
SxS Greentreat Plus	9.6	60.0	<b>80.0</b>	65.2	4616	21.9	52.5	84.3	69.6	7245
SxS Greentreat BMR	8.7	60.9	<b>79.9</b>	63.9	2498	22.1	56.2	84.8	71.6	5883
C NK N09-A4 (74 day)	9.4	54.8	<b>79.5</b>	58.7	2610	--	--	--	--	--
FS HT 110 BMR	9.0	57.3	<b>78.5</b>	63.7	4149	24.4	50.5	84.1	68.5	6955
PM PP-202M	7.3	60.8	<b>78.1</b>	63.7	367	15.9	55.5	87.3	76.8	660
PM Graze King	12.6	61.0	<b>78.1</b>	62.1	3765	19.6	57.1	86.3	76.5	3307
FS DK FS25E	7.8	56.2	<b>77.9</b>	60.4	1252	21.0	54.7	84.1	71.4	4616
PM Hy-Mil-444	13.7	61.5	<b>77.8</b>	63.6	1677	21.0	56.1	84.8	73.5	2730
SxS MS 505 x DS Sudan BMR	7.7	52.2	<b>77.4</b>	55.1	810	22.4	53.6	82.6	67.9	3500
SxS Special Effort	7.3	57.8	<b>73.5</b>	52.4	1653	21.4	55.9	80.6	64.6	6272
SxS UAP Dynagraze 222	7.0	59.5	<b>71.5</b>	51.6	2517	20.1	55.9	80.9	66.0	6933
S Trudan 8	7.3	59.8	<b>70.7</b>	50.0	512	19.8	55.7	79.2	62.3	2125
Mean	<b>8.8</b>	<b>57.5</b>	<b>78.3</b>	<b>61.8</b>	<b>1782</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>54.4</b>	<b>83.6</b>	<b>69.7</b>	<b>4292</b>
Significance (P)	0.05	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	N/A	0.02	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	N/A

Table 4. Forage quality of cultivars sampled on December 5. Nitrate determination was not replicated.

Species & Cultivar	Original growth					Regrowth from Aug. 14				
	CP	NDF	IVTD	NDFD	NO <sub>3</sub> -N	CP	NDF	IVTD	NDFD	NO <sub>3</sub> -N
	% of DM (NDFD = % of NDF)				Ppm	% of DM (NDFD = % of NDF)				Ppm
FS Silo + Plus BMR	8.4	58.6	<b>78.3</b>	63.4	712	15.3	62.4	79.7	62.9	1030
SxS UAP Danny Boy BMR	7.1	67.3	<b>77.6</b>	64.1	502	10.7	64.5	78.8	63.1	24
SxS Greentreat BMR	8.6	70.1	<b>77.6</b>	62.2	949	15.0	68.0	77.3	62.9	738
SxS Nutri + Plus BMR	8.4	61.9	<b>77.3</b>	61.7	66	12.7	63.1	77.3	61.3	20
PM Graze King	12.2	67.6	<b>76.1</b>	63.8	876	11.6	66.4	76.7	64.3	577
FS Redtop + Plus BMR	8.0	64.6	<b>75.9</b>	60.5	39	14.6	64.7	73.8	59.4	194
SxS Hiyield Choice	6.6	63.7	<b>74.8</b>	58.9	80	13.4	67.0	79.2	65.6	81
C Croplan N48-V8 (98 day)	8.4	62.9	<b>74.1</b>	60.0	928	--	--	--	--	--
FS HT 110 BMR	7.4	63.4	<b>73.4</b>	56.5	2843	17.3	61.2	83.4	68.6	1220
FS DK FS25E	9.0	65.6	<b>73.3</b>	56.6	88	13.7	66.7	74.2	58.4	149
SxS Greentreat Plus	7.8	69.9	<b>72.5</b>	58.9	1283	11.8	64.5	76.0	59.8	1210
PM Hy-Mil-444	11.3	69.5	<b>71.8</b>	59.7	1637	13.8	68.4	78.2	70.6	517
SxS MS 505 x DS Sudan BMR	6.9	64.6	<b>71.5</b>	54.9	102	10.8	66.7	71.0	55.6	386
S Trudan 8	6.8	62.7	<b>71.0</b>	54.4	141	13.6	62.0	73.2	61.7	219
SxS UAP Dynagraze 222	6.3	64.2	<b>69.0</b>	50.9	1542	11.2	67.4	74.2	59.2	1484
SxS Special Effort	6.9	65.2	<b>67.8</b>	48.5	2329	14.3	66.8	73.6	56.5	878
PM PP-202M	8.1	69.3	<b>66.9</b>	50.8	30	14.4	66.8	78.8	69.1	210
C NK N09-A4 (74 day)	5.6	69.0	<b>65.9</b>	47.8	907	--	--	--	--	--
Mean	<b>8.0</b>	<b>65.5</b>	<b>73.0</b>	<b>57.4</b>	<b>836</b>	<b>13.3</b>	<b>65.4</b>	<b>76.8</b>	<b>62.6</b>	<b>559</b>
Significance (P)	<0.01	0.18	0.18	0.10	N/A	0.39	<0.01	0.03	0.57	N/A